SEP 0 7 2004

PAGE 4116 * RCVD AT 91712004 1:34:28 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-110 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:512 473 8803 * DURATION (mm-ss):09-56

PATENT 09/801,617

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Group Art Unit: 2178

Examiner J. D. Campbell

Sanaa F. Abdelhadi et al.

Intellectual Property

Serial No: 09/801,617

Law Department - 4054

Filed: March 8, 2001

International Business

Title: REVIEWING PORTIONS

Machines Corporation

OF THE HYPERTEXT WORLD WIDE

11400 Burnet Road

WEB DOCUMENTS LINKED TO

Austin, Texas 78758

HYPERLINKS IN RECEIVED WORLD

WIDE WEB DOCUMENTS

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence including the present Response, two Declarations, and accompanying Transmittal letter is being transmitted via facsimile to USPTO, Group Art Unit 2178 at telephone number 703-872-9306, and to the attention of Examiner J. D. Campbell on 64/07/04

J.B. KRAFT

Signature

pate/

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

sir:

This is in response to the Official Action mailed June 7, 2004.

AUS920000929US1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PAGE 5/16 * RCVD AT 9/72004 1:34:28 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR: USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:512 473 8803 * DURATION (mm-ss):09-56

PATENT 09/801,617

Summary of Telephone Interview with Examiner on 08/17/04.

Applicants thank Examiner for the telephone interview extended to their attorney, J. B. Kraft on August 17, 2004. As Applicants explained in that interview, they believe that they have a clear cut 37 CFR 1.131 argument as will be set forth below for a complete conception of the present invention before the filing date of the Weiss Patent Publication, and diligence for the brief 16 day period until the filing of the present Application on March 8, 2001.

Applicants Argument

The rejection of claims 1-13, 15, and 17-20 under 35 USC 102(e) over Weiss et al., Pub. No. 2003/0014415, filed 02/22/01 is respectfully traversed.

The attached Declaration of the Inventors indicates that the Disclosure (Exhibit A) of the invention was submitted to the IBM IPLaw Department before the February 22, 2001 filing date of the Weiss Publication.

Even more significantly, the attached Declaration of Attorney, J. B. Kraft who prepared the present application establishes that before the February 22, 2001 filing date of Weiss, a draft of this Application in final form was being processed by IBM's IPLaw Department. Such a draft in final form serves as a written conception of the invention.

Thus, the only remaining question is whether the sixteen day period between the day before the February 22, 2001 Weiss date and the present Application filing date on March 8, 2001 is a period of due diligence for the formalization of the Patent Application, the preparation of supporting documents, and the execution and submission to the U.S. Patent Office. It is respectfully requested that

AUS920000929US1

PAGE 6/16 * RCVD AT 9/7/2004 1:34:28 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DVIS:8729306 * CSID:512 473 8803 * DURATION (mm-ss):09-56

PATENT 09/801,617

the Examiner find that a 16 day period is a reasonable period for such processes.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 14 and 16 under 35 USC 103(c) as being unpatentable over Weiss in view of Tracey (US6.199,753) is also respectfully traversed. Since Weiss is no longer a viable reference, this combination of references can not support a rejection.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that claims 1-20 are in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

B. Krake 09/07/04

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 19,226

(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Diana L. Roberts
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, Texas 78758

AUS920000929US1

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER: _

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY